

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspot.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,127	04/05/2001	Chaojun Deng	43774/209425	4908
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 01/19/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			MURPHY, RHONDA L	
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/827,127	DENG, CHAOJUN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Rhonda Murphy	2616			
	09/827,127 Examiner			

	Talonda Marphy	2010	1				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Rhonda Murphy</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Daniel Mao</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>13 December 2006</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]	1					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>43</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Kastenholz et al. (US 2006/007946A1).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)	☑ was not reached. h)☐ N/	A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was							

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The physical relation between the circuit cards, transfer cards, interface cards and switched network cards were discussed. In addition, the broad nature of the claims and the lack of functional description of the cards were discussed. Applicant was advised to amend the claims and file a formal response.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if regard